

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the motion.

The bill clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to Calendar No. 473, S. 3429, a bill to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a veterans jobs corps, and for other purposes.

Mr. REID. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### CYBER SECURITY LEGISLATION

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I rise to respond to the statement of the majority leader—first, to say that I share his sadness and disappointment that he had to file a cloture motion on this Cybersecurity Act, but I totally agree with the decision he has made. I do not think he had any choice.

I think we are facing on the one hand an urgent, real, and growing threat to our security and our prosperity because we are vulnerable; that is, the privately owned cyber infrastructure of our country is vulnerable to attack from foreign enemies, from nonstate actors such as terrorist groups, from organized criminal gangs who are just out to steal billions of dollars over the Internet, and from hackers.

So we are dealing with a real problem that all the nonpolitical security experts from the last administration, the Bush administration, and this one, the Obama administration, say is rising rapidly to being the No. 1 threat to American security. Over the Internet now, because of our vulnerability over cyber space, a foreign enemy can do us more damage than the terrorists did to us on 9/11. It is that stark. So that is one reality.

The other reality is that Senator COLLINS and I, Senator ROCKEFELLER and Senator FEINSTEIN, have been working literally for years. As Senator REID said, because of the urgency of the problem, we decided we cannot just fight for 100 percent of what we thought was best to protect our security. We pulled back; we made it not mandatory. We have standards being set for the private sector to defend itself and us better, and we are creating carrots and not sticks to encourage them to opt into those cyber security standards. That is one reality.

The other reality is that in our government—notwithstanding controversy here—all the Departments are working like a team. As General Alexander, the head of Cyber Command at the Department of Defense says, cyber security is a team sport—the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Defense, the FBI, the intelligence community all working together to protect our country. But they do not have the tools they need, and they urgently need this bill.

Yet the other reality is, in the Senate, where once again we are gridlocked, we cannot even get the consent necessary to take up amendments to vote on. Senator COLLINS and I have said all along: Just get this bill to the floor. Let the Chamber, the 100 Senators, work their will on germane and relevant amendments, and something good will result for the country. So here is the bill on the Senate floor, and yet Members are blocking us from taking up those amendments. And I am afraid the consequence is that they are running out the clock.

A lot of good work done by those of us who have sponsored the pending legislation, in a very constructive, bipartisan group, led by Senator KYL and Senator WHITEHOUSE—including three additional members of the Democratic Caucus and Republican Caucus—have worked very hard to bridge the gaps. We have come closer together, but we are not going to work this out unless we can vote.

I wish we had not come to this point, but Senator REID has made the correct and necessary decision, and it will confront the Members of the Senate on Thursday with a decision: Are you going to vote for cloture to at least allow the Chamber to consider all the amendments on this bill that are germane and relevant or are you going to say: No, I will only settle for exactly what I want, and I do not want this bill; therefore, I am going to vote against cloture and run the risk—which all the independent cyber security experts in our Nation tell us we will run if we do not do anything—that we will suffer a major attack or at least we will continue to suffer major cyber theft.

So I am saddened. We have worked very hard on this. But that is not the point. The point is, there is an urgent necessity to pass this legislation. It ought to be nonpartisan. It ought not to be the victim of special interest pleading. It ought to be all of us coming together, as we usually have on national security matters, to put the national security interests of the American people ahead of special interests, to resolve our differences, to settle for less than 100 percent, and to get something done to protect our country or is this going to be another case where the Senate fails to bridge the gaps, fails to be willing to make principled compromises and therefore fails not only to fix a problem but, in this case, to protect our country from a very clear and present danger of cyber attack and cyber theft?

So Thursday will be the day of decision. I hope perhaps meetings can occur tomorrow in which we can reconcile our differences and agree on a method to go forward. If not, every Member of the Senate is going to have to decide whether they want to block action on cyber security legislation or whether they want to go forward and consider the amendments on both sides that have been filed.

I thank the Presiding Officer and yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. UDALL of Colorado.) Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, it strikes me, as I call you, Mr. President, that I once had the high honor to support a man who shared your name, indeed your father, for President of the United States. So it is nice to be able to call you Mr. President.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### TRIBUTE TO NED MOORE

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I rise to pay tribute to an honored Kentuckian and veteran of World War II, Mr. Ned Moore. Mr. Moore visited the Nation's capital several months ago with Honor Flight, the group that helps bring veterans to Washington, D.C., to see the memorials that were built in their honor. Mr. Moore was able to see the World War II Memorial that he and his fellow sailors inspired.

Ned's grandson, Mr. Tres Watson, is a good friend of mine, and when he made me aware of his grandfather's visit, I thought it worth a moment to share Ned's story with my colleagues. Ned Moore was born in Marydell, MS, on February 27, 1927. He joined the Navy in Jackson, MS, on August 1, 1944, at the age of 16, without his mother's consent. He was assigned to the USS *Coronis*, a landing-craft repair ship, on Christmas Day 1944.

While Ned was aboard the *Coronis*, it saw action throughout the Pacific Theater, including acting as a support ship during the battle of Okinawa.

In 1945, Ned was assigned to the United Nations, where among his duties he served as personal driver for UN delegates including Eleanor Roosevelt, who was a UN delegate at the time. She presented Ned with a Roosevelt dime after making his acquaintance.

In March 1946, Ned was assigned to the USS *Wright*, a *Saipan*-class light aircraft carrier, where he served as an aircraft mechanic. While the *Wright* was stationed in Pensacola, FL, functioning as a training ship, Ned married Margaret Daly in 1948.

In October 1952, Ned was assigned to the USS *Bennington*, an *Essex*-class aircraft carrier that had been

recommissioned as an attack carrier. While the *Bennington* was stationed in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, in February 1953, then-U.S. Senator John F. Kennedy obtained leave for Ned to return to the United States for the birth of his first child.

In 1958, Ned was assigned to the USS *Wasp* in Boston after it had been overhauled to become the hub of a special anti-submarine group of the Sixth Fleet. While aboard the *Wasp*, Ned sailed through the Mediterranean and participated in Operation Blue Bat, a U.S. military intervention into Lebanon. The *Wasp* was responsible for transporting sick and injured Marines from Lebanon so they could receive care.

In 1960, Ned was transferred to NAS, Naval Air Station Memphis. While in Memphis, Ned established the Naval Air Maintenance Training Group Library. He was also a courier between Memphis and Washington, carrying plans for jets under design.

He retired from the Navy in Memphis on December 31, 1964, as a senior chief petty officer.

After leaving the Navy, Ned and his family moved to Mayfield, KY, where he worked as a maintenance manager at the General Tire manufacturing facility. There, he raised three children, Debbie, Richy, and Mike. After retiring from General Tire in 1983, Ned and his wife kept their house in Mayfield while traveling the country in a motor home in the spring, summer, and fall and wintering in Florida. They travelled to all 50 States. They moved to Lillian, AL, in 2005.

At this time I ask my U.S. Senate colleagues to join me in honoring Mr. Ned Moore for his service to country and his devotion to the defense of freedom. When World War II ended, he laid down his arms to become a productive, successful member of the community who was admired by his family, neighbors, and State. He has been a role model to Tres Watson and many other Kentuckians. I wish him all the best in his retirement and a happy future.

#### WOOL TRUST FUND

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I am happy to hear there is a commitment to pass the extension and modification of the Wool and Cotton Trust Funds this year. As my colleagues noted, the Wool Trust Fund compensates for the competitive damage caused by the fact that duties are higher on imports of raw materials, like wool fabric, than on imports of finished products, like trousers and suits. This "tariff inversion" gives foreign manufacturers a significant cost advantage over U.S. manufacturers like Rochester, NY's Hickey Freeman.

Hickey Freeman has been operating in Rochester, NY since 1899. Wool cloth imported by Hickey Freeman is cut and sewn into wool clothing which, in turn, is sold in stores across the United States and around the world. I am par-

ticularly proud to note—while our athlete's uniforms sadly were made in China, our announcers on NBC are wearing Hickey Freeman at the 2012 London Olympic Games.

The Wool Trust Fund is a successful program in curbing job losses and allowing American textile and apparel companies to expand their own export markets. Without the technical fix that we are asking for here today, the health of the Wool Trust Fund will be in peril.

I thank Senator MENENDEZ for his tireless leadership in extending and modifying the Wool and Cotton Trust Funds and the Leader and Chairman BAUCUS for agreeing to work with Senators MENENDEZ, CARDIN and myself to ensure these important programs are dealt with by the end of the year.

#### 6-MONTH CONTINUING RESOLUTION

Mr. COCHRAN. Mr. President, agreeing to put the government on autopilot for 6 months is no great achievement. It simply means more drift. It means a longer period of uncertainty for government agencies and the people they serve, more spending on ineffective programs and outdated priorities, and inadequate investment in programs that merit additional resources.

My preference is that we complete our work and make specific spending choices based on the relative merits of government programs. There is no excuse for the Senate not to be considering the appropriations bills. Our committee members have done the work of scrutinizing budgets, holding hearings, and drafting bills. Those bills deserve to be considered by the Senate, negotiated with the House and sent to the President as soon as possible.

I congratulate the distinguished chairman of our Committee on Appropriations, Mr. INOUE, for his dependable leadership on getting us to this point. I look forward to continuing our efforts to extend our appropriations authority for the balance of the fiscal year.

#### WEAR AMERICAN ACT OF 2012

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, in cities and towns across the Nation, workers have the proud tradition of manufacturing products that are made here at home.

Manufacturing helped us become an economic superpower and build a strong, vibrant middle class.

Ohio manufacturers and workers are some of the most industrious, innovative, and competitive in the Nation.

Our companies and the hard-working people who fill our factories can compete with anyone in the world.

But this competition is getting tougher as our Nation is facing ongoing and unfair competition from countries like China.

It does not help when U.S. companies and organizations either outsource

jobs, production, and purchases overseas.

As has been reported in the news recently, the U.S. Olympic Committee's use of Chinese-made apparel was a missed opportunity to use domestic apparel manufacturers.

The public outrage about this decision created was predictable.

It is unconscionable that the U.S. Olympic Committee would hand over the production of uniforms worn by our proud athletes to a county that flouts international trade laws, manipulates its currency, and cheats on trade.

It makes no sense that an American organization would place a Chinese-made beret on the heads of our finest athletes when we have the capacity to make high-end apparel here.

I am encouraged that, after speaking with the chief executive and chair of the U.S. Olympic Committee, uniforms designed by Ralph Lauren for the 2014 Olympic Games will be made in the United States.

I also applaud USOC's decision to further ensure, as a matter of policy, that they are going to make Buying American a priority.

But this incident reminds us of the consequences of passing a trade deal without real accountability and enforcement.

Congress passed a trade deal with China more than 10 years ago, which has contributed to the loss of more than 5 million U.S. manufacturing jobs between 2000 and 2010.

While some lawmakers and economists have written off our manufacturing sector including textile and apparel production they need to think again.

According to the National Council of Textile Organizations, the United States is the third largest exporter of textile products in the world.

The textile sector put more than 500,000 people to work at plants in large cities and mills in rural towns.

Do some lawmakers and economists really think we should turn our backs these working Americans?

No. It is not right that U.S. workers get overlooked when it comes to showcasing that American apparel workers in Ohio towns like Brooklyn and Aracanum can make things.

We've seen this time and time again: whether it is Olympic uniforms or U.S. flags, products all too often are not made here.

We can and we must stop this disturbing trend.

That is why I am introducing the Wear American Act to make certain that the Federal Government purchases apparel that is 100 percent American-made.

That means all textiles and apparel purchased with U.S. tax dollars will be invested in U.S. businesses and communities not China.

The textile industry has been a staple of our Nation's economy since its founding and it will be important in the future.